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The design and construction of complex supramolecular architectures requires an understanding of how to control arrangements of noncovalent forces between multiple components to allow functionalities to be expressed. In this context, metal-organic polyhedra (MOPs) ${ }^{1}$ are attractive platforms to present surfaceexposed organic groups in synthetic supramolecular chemistry. These capsular assemblies form via coordination-driven selfassembly of metal ions with organic subunits of predefined geometries. Much attention has focused upon inner cavities of MOPs to elucidate behaviors of guests. ${ }^{2}$ Comparatively little attention, however, has been paid to develop the exteriors, ${ }^{3}$ which could enable these cages to interact selectively with their environments and deliver their contents. ${ }^{4}$
Here, we introduce a simple and general method to decorate the exteriors of MOPs with organic groups using principles of supramolecular chemistry. We show how noncovalent decoration of MOPs, in the form of trigonal antiprisms, ${ }^{5}$ give novel onion-shell capsules with alternating negative - positive - negative charges (Scheme 1, left). These capsules are complements to MOPs described by Fujita that involve alternating positive - negative - positive charges associated with interior, versus exterior, environments. ${ }^{6}$ Our strategy uses anions, in the form of organosulfonates $\mathrm{RSO}_{3}{ }^{-}\left[\mathrm{R}=-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right.$, $(+$ or -$)$-10-camphor-sulfonate (CPS), -dodecylbenzenesulfonate (DBS)], to radially decorate the nanometer-scale cationic MOP $\left[\mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{12+}$ (where: $\mathbf{1}$ $=\mathrm{Cu}_{6}\left(2,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{tpcb}\right)_{6}$ with $2,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{tpcb}=r c t t-1$-bis(2-pyridyl)-2-bis(4pyridyl)cyclobutane). ${ }^{5}$ The resulting cages possess three concentric shells with a core of anionic guests, a cationic shell, and an anionic exterior. The strategy affords novel homochiral polyhedra with up to 18 components. We show how subtleties of the self-assembly process can be tuned so that the encapsulated anions are different than the decorators. ${ }^{7}$

Host $\left[\mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{12+}$ consists of six $\mathrm{Cu}($ II $)$ ions, six molecules of $2,4^{\prime}$-tpcb, and six water molecules that form a capsule $c a .1 \mathrm{~nm}$ in diameter. The topology conforms to a trigonal antiprism. ${ }^{5}$ The cavity encapsulates polyatomic anions (e.g., $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$) while the water molecules coordinate $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ions along the corners of the polyhedron. Owing to the positioning of the waters, we expected that the exterior of the capsule could be decorated noncovalently by replacing the waters with organic anions (Scheme 1, right). The MOP would, thus, be programmable in terms of the number and spacing of points of attachment of anions along the exterior. In an ideal case, a one-pot reaction would result in radial assembly of six organic anions. Such an approach would obviate a covalent synthesis of a decorating ligand, which is the established method. ${ }^{8}$ Single or multiple anions could assemble within the polyhedron. The structural diversity and widespread availability of organosulfonates, ${ }^{9}$ as well as the ability to engage in $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds, made the anions attractive as decorating units. The +12 charge of $\mathbf{1}$ could

Scheme 1. Onion-Shell Antiprisms with Noncovalent Decorators

augment the weak association ${ }^{10}$ of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{RSO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ions to give cages of general composition $\left[\mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{RSO}_{3}\right)_{n}\right]^{12-n}$.

To test our hypothesis, equimolar $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and $2,4^{\prime}$-tpcb were mixed in MeCN . Addition of diethyl ether produced a powder. An IR spectrum gave a band at $1267 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which corresponds to the $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ion. Single crystals of $\left[1\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{6}\right]\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{6} \cdot 18 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2) suitable for X-ray analysis were grown via slow diffusion of diethyl ether into a solution of $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and $2,4^{\prime}$-tpcb (1:1 ratio) in MeCN .

Perspective views of $\mathbf{2}$ are shown in Figure 1. The metal and organic components assembled to form the polyhedron 1, which sits around a crystallographic -3 position. Six $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ions lie along the exterior of $\mathbf{1}$, interacting with the polyhedron via six $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds $(\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length $2.24 \AA$ ) (Figure 1a). Two additional $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ions interact with the exterior of the polyhedron via electrostatic forces. These two ions cap the antiprism, with each $-\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ group pointing toward the cavity (Figure 1b). A single $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{-}$anion, which lies disordered over six positions, also assembled within $\left[1\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{6}\right]^{6+}$ and, thus, serves as a guest (Figure 1b). The exterior decorators and encapsulated anion are, thus, of the same chemical type. The remaining three $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ ions, along with 18 water molecules, lie exterior to the MOP, participating in a network of $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds.

With a noncovalent decoration of $\mathbf{1}$ established, we turned to complex functionality in the form of chiral anions. Of the few chiral MOPs, most are composed of achiral components and typically afford racemates. ${ }^{11,12}$ We expected a one-pot entry to a homochiral MOP wherein six homochiral groups assemble along 1. For a chiral decorator, we chose camphorsulfonate (CPS), a common chiral auxiliary. ${ }^{13}$ Molecular modeling suggested a single CPS ion would be too large to fit in $\mathbf{1}$. Since the $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$ion is a tight-fitting guest, ${ }^{5}$ reaction of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{CPS})_{2}, 2,4-$ tpcb, and $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$ions would afford $\mathbf{1}$ with radially decorating CPS ions and encapsulated $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$ions. We would, thus, use size to fine-tune the self-assembly to give a superassembly with guests different than the decorators.

To test our hypothesis, equimolar $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right][(+)-\mathrm{CPS}]_{2}, 2,4^{\prime}-$ tpcb, and $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}$ were mixed in MeCN . Addition of diethyl ether


Figure 1. X-ray structure of decorated 2: (a) Ball-and-stick (2,4'-tpcb, yellow; $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{-}$, red) and (b) cut-away showing capping $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{-}$anions (purple) and $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{-}$guest (green $=\mathrm{Cu}$, yellow $=\mathrm{S}$, yellow-green $=\mathrm{F}$, red $=\mathrm{O}$, blue $=\mathrm{N}$, gray $=\mathrm{C}$, white $=\mathrm{H}$ ).


Figure 2. X-ray structure of homochiral 3: (a) Ball-and-stick and (b) cutaway showing $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$guests ( $100 \%$ and $50 \%$ occupied).
produced a blue powder. An IR spectrum gave bands at 1270, 1146, 1120, and $1087 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which correspond to the CPS and $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$ ions. Single crystals of $\left[\mathbf{1}((+)-\mathrm{CPS})_{6}\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{6} \cdot 6.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 11.5 \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{CN} \cdot 3 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}$ (3) suitable for X-ray analysis were grown via diffusion of diethyl ether into a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right][(+)-\mathrm{CPS}]_{2}$, 2,4'-tpcb, and $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}$ (1:1:1 ratio) in MeCN .

Perspective views of $\mathbf{3}$ are shown in Figure 2. The components assembled to give the homochiral polyhedron $\left[\mathbf{1}((+)-\mathrm{CPS})_{6}\right]^{6+}$, which sits around a crystallographic 32 position. The six (+)-CPS ions decorate the exterior of the antiprism, interacting with 1 via six $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds $(\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length $2.19 \AA$ ) (Figure 2a). In contrast to $\mathbf{2}$, the cavity of $\mathbf{3}$ is filled with anions chemically different than the decorators. Each cavity is filled with up to two $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$ anions (Figure 2b), while each end of the antiprism is capped by one $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$ion. The encapsulated $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$ions are disordered such that each cavity is occupied by either two $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$ions or a $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$ ion and a water molecule. The remaining $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$ions, along with the solvent, are disordered and lie exterior to $\mathbf{1}$. We also generated the homochiral enantiomer of $\left[\mathbf{1}((+)-\mathrm{CPS})_{6}\right]^{6+}$. Specifically, reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]\left[(-)\right.$-CPS], 2, $4^{\prime}$-tpcb, and $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}$ afforded crystalline $\left[\mathbf{1}((-)-\mathrm{CPS})_{6}\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{6} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 7.5 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} \cdot 3 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}(4)$. An X-ray analysis revealed $\mathbf{1}$ decorated with six ( - --CPS ions and encapsulated $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$ions.

Although organosulfonates are weakly coordinating, ${ }^{9}$ evidence supporting the decorators associating with $\mathbf{1}$ in solution comes from electrospray mass spectrometry (ESMS) and solubility experiments. ${ }^{14}$ In particular, the ESMS spectrum of $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and $2,4^{\prime}$-tpcb in MeCN gave only a single peak at $m / z 364$, which corresponds to free $2,4^{\prime}-$ tpcb. However, upon addition of $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}$, peaks form in the low and high $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ region. The most abundant peaks are $m / z 576$ and 526 , which correspond to $\left[\left(1\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{6}\right]^{+6}\right.$ and $\left[\left(\mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{6}\right]^{+6}\right.$. Doubly and triply charged peaks are also present, with the most prominent being $\left[\left(\mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{9}\right]^{+3}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}\right.$ 1303 $)$. From the structure of $\mathbf{2}$, the triply charged peak is assigned as decorated $\mathbf{1}$ with the corners, capping sites, and cavity occupied by six, two, and one $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ion, respectively. Remaining peaks (Supporting Information) are assigned to decorated $\left[\mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{6}\right]^{6+}$ with two encapsulated $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$guests and surface-exchanged $-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ ions. ${ }^{15}$ Solubility experiments conducted in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ using DBS also
support the decorators associating with $\mathbf{1}$ in solution. Specifically, an attempt to dissolve nondecorated $[\mathbf{1}]\left[\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{12}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ resulted in a blue suspension and no visible color change to the solvent following Ultrafine filtration. However, when NaDBS (ratio of $1 /$ DBS $=1: 10)$ was added to the suspension of solid $[1]\left[\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{12}\right]$, a blue solution formed within minutes. When $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and NaDBS were added, in a separate experiment, to $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, a solution with no visible color change formed. Given that $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ is relatively nonpolar and noncoordinating and that the components of the MOP are charged, these observations are consistent with $\mathbf{1}$ forming in solution with the negatively charged end of each DBS ion being directed to the exterior of the polyhedron. The decorated MOP would, in effect, resemble a reverse-micelle ${ }^{16}$ with the charged groups sequestered to the core and the hydrophobic groups pointing away from the cavity.

In summary, we have presented a method to noncovalently decorate exteriors of MOPs involving shells of alternating negative-positive-negative charges. In addition to chiral and hydrophobic groups, we plan to study a wide range of decorators that bestow additional properties. That we can selectively modify guests is expected to give MOPs of enhanced complexity.
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